



Complaints Policy

This policy has been approved and adopted by the Christus Catholic Trust across all their academies, and it will apply to all staff within the Trust.

Approved by Trust Board	February 2026
Review Date	February 2027

The schools of Christus Catholic Trust are unique and united in partnership and service to our communities and global home.

The complaints procedure will be reviewed annually, taking into account any legislative changes and the latest guidance issued by the DfE. The next scheduled review date for this policy is date.

Responsibility for reviewing the procedure belongs to a committee of the Board of Trustees. All projected review dates will be adhered to.

Information gathered through reviewing the complaints procedure will be used to continuously improve and develop the process. Any changes to this policy will be communicated to all relevant stakeholders.

We are:

- Uncompromising in our **ambition** to use the power of **collaboration** to ensure our pupils receive an **excellent** Catholic education.
- Have a strong culture of safeguarding in an environment where good mental health and wellbeing are nurtured.
- Have an **inclusive** approach to a high quality, **innovative**, contextualised education.
- Have high **aspirations** for all our pupils to achieve the best possible outcomes.

- **Important information about this policy**

- This policy has been reviewed by Forbes Solicitors LLP, and its content is considered to be compliant with government legislation and statutory guidance in force at the time of publishing on 1 September 2025. Forbes Solicitors LLP gives no warranty in relation to the contents of this policy or its suitability for any specific purpose.
- To the fullest extent permitted by law, Forbes Solicitors LLP accepts no responsibility for reliance upon or the consequences of use of this policy, which is intended as a basis for the production of a suitable document for each organisation adopting it and not as legal advice in relation to the matters it addresses and its potential effect in any setting.
- The effect of the policy will depend on the manner in which it is customised for each setting and the approach that is taken to its publication and enforcement. It is important to ensure that any policy produced using this document is compatible with the relevant constitutional documents and other policies which will operate in association with it.

Contents:

Statement of intent

1. [Legal framework](#)
2. [Definitions](#)
3. [Making a complaint](#)
4. [Roles and responsibilities](#)
5. [Complaints procedure](#)
6. [Interviewing witnesses](#)
7. [Recording a complaint](#)
8. [Exceptional circumstances](#)
9. [Managing unreasonable complaints](#)
10. [Complaints campaigns](#)
11. [Barring from the premises](#)
12. [Standard of fluency complaints](#)
13. [Transferring data](#)
14. [Availability](#)
15. [Monitoring and review](#)

Statement of intent

Christus Catholic Trust aims to resolve complaints at the earliest possible stage and, where possible, informally, and is dedicated to continuing to provide the highest quality of education possible in the school throughout the procedure.

This policy has been created to handle complaints relating to any aspects of the provision of facilities or services against:

- Any member of staff.
- The school.
- Individual trustees or the board of trustees.

It is designed to ensure that the school's complaints procedure is straightforward, impartial, non-adversarial, allows a full and fair investigation, respects confidentiality, and delivers an effective response and appropriate redress.

This policy outlines the procedure that the complainant and the school will follow. Once a complaint has been made, it can be resolved or withdrawn at any stage.

The Headteacher and/or Chair of Local Governing Committee /Chair of Trust will delegate an appropriate person to be the first point of contact during the complaints procedure.

1. Legal Framework

This policy has due regard to all relevant legislation and guidance including, but not limited to, the following:

- Freedom of Information Act 2000
- Education Act 2002
- Equality Act 2010
- The Education (Independent School Standards) Regulations 2014
- Immigration Act 2016
- UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR)
- Data Protection Act 2018
- HM Government ‘Code of Practice on the English language requirement for public sector workers’
- DfE ‘Best practice guidance for academies complaints procedures’
- DfE ‘School trust handbook 2025’

This policy operates in conjunction with the following school policies:

- Admissions Policy
- Child Protection and Safeguarding Policy
- Behaviour Policy
- Suspension and Exclusion Policy
- Whistleblowing Policy
- Grievance Policy
- Data Protection Policy
- Records Management Policy

2. Definitions

For the purpose of this policy, a “**complaint**” is defined as ‘an expression of dissatisfaction’ towards the actions taken or a perceived lack of action. Complaints can be resolved formally or informally.

A “**concern**” is defined as ‘an expression of worry or doubt’ where reassurance is required.

Any complaint or concern will be taken seriously, whether raised formally or informally, and the appropriate procedures will be implemented. It is in everyone’s interest that concerns and complaints are resolved at the earliest possible stage. Many issues can be resolved informally, without the need to use the formal stages of the complaints procedure. Concerns will be treated extremely seriously and every effort will be made to resolve the matter as quickly as possible.

If an individual has difficulty discussing a concern with a particular member of staff, a different member of staff will be nominated. Similarly, if the member of staff directly involved feels unable to deal with a concern, the concern will be referred to another member of staff. The member of staff may be more senior but does not have to be. The concern will be treated objectively and impartially.

The school will recognise that there are occasions when people would prefer to raise their concerns formally. In this case, there will be attempts to resolve the issue internally, through the stages outlined within this complaints procedure.

The definition of “**unreasonable complaints**” is outlined in the ‘Managing unreasonable complaints’ section of this policy.

For the purpose of this policy, “**duplicate complaints**” are identical complaints received from a complainant’s spouse, partner or family member. These complaints will not be addressed again and the individual making the second complaint will be informed that the complaint has been dealt with on a local level. If the individual is dissatisfied with the result, they can appeal to the DfE, as outlined in ‘The role of the DfE’ subsection of this policy. Any new details provided by a complainant’s spouse, partner or child, however, will be investigated and managed in line with the complaints procedure.

For the purpose of this policy, “**Serial complaints**” are identical complaints received from a complainant’s spouse, partner or family member. These complaints will not be addressed again and the individual making the second complaint will be informed that the complaint has been dealt with on a local level. If the individual is dissatisfied with the result, they can appeal to the DfE, as outlined in ‘The role of the DfE’ subsection of this policy. Any new details provided by a complainant’s spouse, partner or child, however, will be investigated and managed in line with the complaints procedure.

For the purposes of this policy, having “**independence**” from the school is defined as having no association with the school, including through being a member, trustee or employee, and having no clear connection with any of the school, including through being an employee or solicitor. Independent panel members will meet the school’s and DfE’s definition of independence.

For the purpose of this policy, ‘**Evidence and proof**’ when investigating and determining complaints, the school will apply the civil standard of proof, namely the *balance of probabilities*. This means that a finding will be made based on whether it is more likely than not that the event occurred, having considered the available evidence. The complaints procedure is not a criminal process and does not require proof beyond reasonable doubt.

3. Making a Complaint

Any person, including a member of the public, will be able to make a complaint about the provision of facilities or services that the school provides. Complaints may also be made by a third party on behalf of a complainant, contingent on appropriate consent having been obtained to do so. All complaints made will be handled via the procedures outlined in this policy.

Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Written Complaints

We understand that some complainants may use artificial intelligence (AI) tools to help prepare their written complaints. While this can be a useful aid, it is important that:

- The complaint reflects the complainant’s own words, views, and experiences.
- Any AI-generated content is carefully checked and amended to ensure it is accurate, relevant, and factually correct.

- The language used remains courteous and constructive, supporting a resolution in the best interests of pupils.
- Personal or sensitive information is not entered into AI tools unless the complainant is confident it will be handled securely.

Where AI-generated text appears unclear, inaccurate, or inconsistent, the school may request clarification to ensure the matter is fully understood. Our aim is to work together to resolve concerns promptly and fairly.

Individuals making complaints about issues relating to separate statutory procedures will be referred as follows:

- **Admissions** – referred to the appeals process outlined in the Admissions Policy.
- **Statutory assessments of SEND** – referred to the LA to raise this issue directly with them.
- **Child protection** – referred to safeguarding procedures outlined in the Child Protection and Safeguarding Policy.
- **Exclusion** – referred to the procedures outlined in the Behaviour Policy and Suspension and Exclusion Policy.
- **Whistleblowing** – referred to the internal whistleblowing procedures outlined in the Whistleblowing Policy.
- **Staff grievances** – referred to the internal grievance procedures outlined in the Grievance Policy.
- **Staff conduct** – referred to the internal disciplinary procedures.
- **Third-party suppliers using school premises or facilities** – referred to separate complaints procedures. The school will ensure any third-party supplier using school premises or facilities to offer community facilities or services has its own complaints procedures in place and such complaints do not fall within the scope of this policy.
- **Withdrawal from the curriculum** – referred to separate complaints procedure dealing with parent or carers withdrawing their child from any aspect of religious education, including the daily act of collective worship.

All other complaints will be directed towards the procedures laid out in this policy. Complaints must be submitted in writing. Complaints should be made using the appropriate channels of communication. The school will not normally investigate anonymous complaints; however, the Headteacher or Chair of Governors, if appropriate, will determine whether the complaint warrants an investigation.

Complaints are expected to be made as soon as possible after an incident arises and within three months of the incident or, where there a series of associated incidents have occurred, within three months of the last of these incidents. Complaints made outside this time limit will be considered in exceptional circumstances. Whether a complaint is considered “exceptional circumstances” will be determined by the Chair of the Local Governing Committee. In the case of any timescales changing, all parties involved will be informed of the changes in a timely manner. Complaints received outside of term time will be treated as being received on the first school day after the holiday period. The complaints procedure cannot be used to pursue or influence disciplinary, capability, or performance management action against staff. Panels and investigators will only consider reasonable and proportionate remedies within the scope of the complaints process.

Complainants are expected to clearly set out the nature of their complaint and, where possible, the outcome they are seeking at the earliest stage of the procedure. While the school will take requested outcomes into account, the final decision regarding appropriate remedies rests with the school.

A complaint can progress to the next stage of the procedure even if it is not viewed as “justified”. All complainants will be given the opportunity to fully complete the complaints procedure.

Complaints about school staff or trustees

Complaints against staff, excluding the Headteacher, will:

- Be dealt with by the Headteacher via the school office and marked as Private and Confidential.
- The Headteacher, may deputise this to an appropriate senior leader.
- Follow the complaints procedure, including a panel hearing where applicable.

Complaints that involve or about the Headteacher will:

- Be dealt with by the chair of Local Governing Committee via the trust office and marked as Private and Confidential.
- Follow the complaints procedure, including a panel hearing where applicable.

Complaints against trustees will:

- Be made in writing to the clerk marked as private and confidential, who will arrange for them to be heard.
- Be dealt with by the chair of trustees.
- Potentially involve escalation to conduct an investigation – this will be handled by the CSEL.
- Involve a panel hearing where applicable.
- Complaints against the chair of trustees will:

Be made in writing to the clerk marked as private and confidential, who will determine the most appropriate course of action dependent on the nature of the complaint.

- Complaints against the board of trustees will:
- Be made in writing to the CSEL and marked as private and confidential.
- Follow the complaints procedure, including a panel hearing where applicable.

Complaints against the CSEL will:

- Be dealt with by the [Chair of Trustees](#), with a panel hearing where applicable.

Complaints against the trust will:

- Be dealt with by the CSEL.

- Begin with stage two of the 'Complaints procedure' outlined in this policy, i.e. via a formal, written complaint.

Complaints escalated to the trust

Where a complainant wishes to escalate their complaint to the trust of the school, the complaint will be handled by the CSEL..

The clerk to the board of trustees will write to the complainant to acknowledge their complaint within [15](#) school days of receiving it. If appropriate, the CSEL will investigate the complaint using the appropriate protocol and confirm the date for providing a response.

Where the complainant is not satisfied with the outcome of the response, they will be prompted to write to the clerk to the board of trustees to ask for the complaint to be held before a panel within [10](#) school days. The clerk will record the date this complaint is received and will respond within [10](#) school days. The panel hearing will be organised in accordance with stage three of the complaints procedure.

If a complaint is escalated beyond the panel, its resolution may involve sourcing an independent investigator to deal with the complaint. In exceptional circumstances, where it is necessary to deviate from the complaints procedure, this deviation will be appropriately documented.

Information about a complaint will not be disclosed to a third party without written consent from the complainant.

4. Roles and Responsibilities

The complainant will be responsible for:

- Cooperating with the school in seeking a solution to the complaint.
- Expressing the complaint and their concerns in full at the earliest opportunity.
- Promptly responding to any requests for information and meetings.
- Asking for assistance as needed.
- Treating anyone involved in the complaint with respect.
- Respecting confidentiality.

The role of the investigator will differ depending on the nature of the complaint and who it is directed at. This means that:

- For complaints against staff, the investigator will be the Headteacher. The Headteacher, may deputise this to an appropriate senior leader.
- For complaints against the Headteacher, the investigator will be the Chair of Local Governing Committee.
- For complaints against trustees, the investigator will be the chair of trustees.
- For complaints against the chair of trustees or the board of trustees, the clerk will appoint an appropriate person to be the investigator. The investigator will be a nominated person from the Members of the Trust.

- For complaints against the CSEL, the investigator will be the chair of trustees.

The investigator of the complaint will be responsible for:

- Providing a sensitive and thorough interviewing process of the complainant to establish what has happened and who is involved.
- Considering all records, evidence and relevant information provided.
- Interviewing all parties that are involved in the complaint, including staff and pupils.
- Conducting interviews with an open mind and being prepared to persist in the questioning of those involved.
- Analysing all information in a comprehensive and fair manner.
- Liaising with the complainant and clarifying an appropriate resolution to the problem.
- Identifying and recommending solutions and courses of actions to take.
- Being mindful of timescales and ensuring all parties involved are aware of these timescales.
- Responding to the complainant in a clear and understandable manner.

Where complaints are escalated to a panel hearing, all complaints panel members will be aware that:

- The review panel hearing is independent and impartial.
- No individual with prior involvement in the complaint, or the circumstances surrounding it, is permitted to sit on the panel.
- The aim of the panel is to achieve a reasonable resolution and, ultimately, attain reconciliation between the parties involved.
- Reconciliation between the school and complainant is not always achievable, and that it may only be possible to establish facts and make recommendations to reassure the complainant that their case has been taken seriously.
- The panel can:
 - Dismiss or uphold the complaint, in whole or in part.
 - Decide on appropriate action to be taken.
 - Recommend changes that the trust can make to prevent reoccurrence of the problem.
- Complainants may feel nervous or inhibited in a formal setting and, therefore, the proceedings should be as welcoming as possible.
- When a child is present at the hearing, extra care needs to be taken to ensure that the child does not feel intimidated, as well as ensuring the child's view is represented equally.

The panel chair will:

- Ensure that minutes of the hearings are taken on every occasion.
- Explain the remit of the panel to the complainant.
- Ensure that all issues are addressed and that outcomes are reached based on facts and evidence.
- Help to put at ease and console individuals involved who are not used to speaking at such hearings, particularly any pupils involved.
- Conduct the hearing in a manner that ensures everyone is treated with respect and courtesy.
- Ensure that the room's layout and setting is non-adversarial, yet still sets the appropriate tone.
- Confirm that no member of the panel has previously been involved in the earlier stages of the procedure or has an external interest in the outcome of the proceedings.
- Give both the complainant and the trust the opportunity to state their case and seek clarity without undue interruption.
- Provide copies of any written material or evidence to everyone in attendance of the meeting, ensuring that everyone has seen the necessary material.
- Organise a short adjournment of the hearing if required.
- Continuously liaise with the clerk to ensure the procedure runs smoothly.
- Help to provide the support necessary where the complainant is a child.

The role of the DfE

If a complainant remains dissatisfied once the complaint procedure has been completed, they have the right to refer their complaint to the Secretary of State. If a complainant wishes to escalate a complaint, the school will refer them to the relevant [contact form](#), and prompt them to follow the instructions on this form to submit a complaint to the Secretary of State.

The DfE will not overturn the school or panel's decision about a complaint or re-investigate the original complaint. The DfE will only intervene following a complaint if it believes the school has:

- Breached a clause in its funding agreement.
- Failed to comply with education law or acted unreasonably when exercising related education functions.

When making a final decision about a complaint, the school reserves the right to seek advice from the DfE on whether they are acting reasonably and lawfully; however, they will not be able to advise on how to resolve the complaint.

Roles in the complaints process

To ensure fairness, transparency, and independence at each stage of the complaints procedure, different individuals or bodies are responsible for managing, investigating, and reviewing complaints depending on who the

complaint is about. No individual will investigate a complaint about themselves, and no individual who has had prior involvement in earlier stages of a complaint will sit on a complaints panel at a later stage.

The table below sets out, for different types of complaint, who will normally:

- Handle the complaint at Stage 1 (informal resolution)
- Act as the Investigating Officer at Stage 2 (formal investigation)
- Sit on the Stage 3 complaints panel

This structure ensures appropriate separation of roles, maintains independence of the panel process, and aligns with Department for Education best practice guidance.

Complaint is about...	Stage 1 (Informal) handled by	Stage 2 Investigating Officer	Stage 3 Panel Composition	Notes / Safeguards
Member of staff (not HT)	Headteacher or delegated Senior Leader	Headteacher or delegated Senior Leader (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	3 independent Governors not previously involved (may be substituted for Trustees if independent Governors not available.)	HT cannot sit on panel
Headteacher	Chair of Governors or delegated Governor.	Chair of Governors or delegated Governor. (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	1 independent Governor not previously involved. 2 Trustees.	Chair cannot sit on panel if they investigated
Governor	Delegated Governor	Chair of Governors or delegated Governor. (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	1 independent Governor not previously involved. 2 Trustees.	Chair cannot sit on panel if they investigated
Chair of Governors	Delegated Governor	Delegated Trustee. (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	1 independent Governor not previously involved. 2 Trustees.	Delegated Governor cannot sit on panel if they investigated
Local Governing Committee as a whole	Delegated Trustee	Delegated Trustee. (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	3 Trustees not previously involved.	Delegated Trustee cannot sit on panel if they investigated

Complaint is about...	Stage 1 (Informal) handled by	Stage 2 Investigating Officer	Stage 3 Panel Composition	Notes / Safeguards
CSEL/CFO	Delegated Trustee	Chair of Trust or delegated Trustee (should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	3 Trustees not previously involved.	Delegated Trustee cannot sit on panel if they investigated
Trustees	Delegated Trustee	Chair of Trust or delegated Trustee (should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	3 Trustees not previously involved.	Delegated Trustee cannot sit on panel if they investigated
Chair of Trust	Delegated Trustee	Delegated Trustee. (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	1 independent Trustee not previously involved. 2 Members.	Delegated Trustee cannot sit on panel if they investigated
Board of Trustees as a whole	Delegated Member	Delegated Member (Should not be the same person who handled stage 1)	3 independent Diocesan representatives	Delegated Member cannot sit on panel if they investigated

The school will ensure that the complaints procedure is:

- Easily accessible and publicised on its website.
- Simple to understand and put into practice.
- Impartial and fair to all parties involved.
- Respectful of confidentiality duties.
- Continuously under improvement.
- Fairly investigated, by an independent person where necessary.
- Used to address all issues to provide appropriate and effective responses where necessary.
- **Informal** – which will usually come in the form of a meeting between a representative of the school and the complainant.
- **Formal** – where the complaint is put in writing to the school.
- **Panel hearing** – where the panel includes at least three people who were not directly involved in the matters detailed in the complaint and one person who is independent of the management and running of the school.
- **Second panel hearing** – where another panel is set up with different panel members to re-investigate the complaint.

At each stage, complainants will be informed of their options for escalation if they are unsatisfied with the outcome of their complaint. The appropriate person will communicate the details of the next stage of the process when delivering the outcome of the current stage, where applicable.

To prevent later challenge or disagreement over what was said in any in-person meetings or telephone conversations at any stage of the procedure, brief notes will be kept, and a copy of any written response will be added to the record of the complaint. Notes and paper copies of any complaints and/or responses are kept securely on each school's ICT system, or on the central trust ICT system where the complaint is against the school as a whole or CSEL.

Stage one – informal complaint

An informal complaint may be made in person, by telephone or in writing; however, it is preferred that initial, informal complaints are made via an in-person meeting.

Where a complaint has been made about a member of staff, the complainant can discuss the concern with the Headteacher, or a person delegated to hear the complaint on their behalf, to seek support. If the concern is about the Headteacher, the Chair of Governors should be informed and will need to handle the complaint.

Where a complaint is made initially to a trustee, the complainant will be referred to the appropriate person. No member of staff or trustee will act alone on a complaint outside of the procedure; if they do, they cannot be involved if the complaint is subject to a hearing at a later stage of the procedure.

Within **10** school days of notification of the complaint, the complainant and the relevant member of staff should discuss the issue in a respectful and informal manner to seek a mutual resolution.

At this initial communication stage of the complaint, the complainant will be asked for their input as to what they believe may resolve the issue about which the complaint has been made to avoid further escalation where possible.

In line with DfE guidance, complainants should note that any acknowledgement by the trust that it could have handled the situation better is not an admission of unlawful or negligent action.

If an appropriate resolution cannot be sought at this informal level, or if the complainant is dissatisfied with the outcome following the initial discussions, the person managing the response to the complaint will inform the complainant about the next level of the procedure.

Stage two – formal complaint

Formal complaints must be made in writing.

Stage two of the process will be completed within **15** school days. Where the situation is recognised as complex, and it is deemed to be unable to be resolved within this timescale, the person managing the complaint will contact the complainant to inform them of the revised target date via a written notification.

An appointment with the appropriate person for managing the complaint should be made, as soon as reasonably practical, to avoid any possible worsening of the situation.

If the complaint is against the Headteacher, the complainant will initially need to write, in confidence, to the Chair of the Local Governing Committee. The Chair will seek to resolve the issue informally, e.g. by arranging a meeting with the complainant within **15** school days, before moving directly to stage three of the procedure.

Where the appropriate person has made reasonable attempts to accommodate the complainant with dates for a complaint meeting and they refuse or are unable to attend, the meeting will be convened in their absence and a conclusion will be reached in the interests of drawing the complaint to a close.

In terms of a complaint being made against a member of staff, the Headteacher or Senior Leader will discuss the issue with the staff member in question. Where necessary, the Headteacher will conduct interviews with any relevant parties, including

witnesses and pupils, and take statements from those involved. All discussions shall be recorded by the Headteacher, and findings and resolutions will be communicated to the complainant either verbally or in writing.

Once all facts are established, the person handling the complaint shall contact the complainant in writing with an explanation of the decision. The complainant will be advised of any escalation options (e.g. escalation to stage three) and will be provided with details of this process.

The complainant will also be provided with copies of minutes, subject to any necessary redactions under the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK GDPR. Any further action the trust plans to take to resolve the issue will be explained to the complainant in writing.

If the complainant is not satisfied with the outcome suggested, the procedure will progress to stage three. A request to escalate to stage three will be made to the clerk to the board of trustees within **10** school days of the end of stage two, i.e. communication of an outcome.

Following receipt of the Stage Two outcome, complainants will be asked to allow a reflection period of five school days before requesting escalation to Stage Three. This period is intended to allow time for the outcome to be considered and, where appropriate, for any recommended actions to begin.

Where a complainant remains dissatisfied after this reflection period, a request to escalate to Stage Three must be made in writing to the clerk to the board of trustees within 10 school days of the date of the Stage Two outcome.

Nothing in this provision removes the complainant's right to escalate to Stage Three.

Stage three – panel hearing

Where the complaint progresses to stage three, a panel will be constituted to hear the complaint, consisting of three individuals who were not directly involved in the matters detailed in the complaint, and at least one independent panel member. The independent panel member will not be a member, trustee or employee of the school. The school will also ensure the independent panel member does not have any clear connection with the school.

The clerk will record the date the escalation request was received, acknowledge receipt of the complaint, and inform the complainant of the scheduled time and date of the panel hearing in writing. The meeting will be convened within **15** school days of the receipt of the escalation request where possible. Where this is not possible, the clerk will provide an anticipated date and ensure the complainant is kept up-to-date.

5 school days' notice will be given to all parties attending the panel hearing, including the complainant.

Prior to the hearing, the clerk will have written to the complainant informing them of how the review will be conducted. The Headteacher and the chair of trustees will also have a copy of this letter.

At the hearing, all participants will be given the opportunity to put their case across and discuss any issues. The meeting will allow for:

- The complainant to be present and accompanied at the hearing if they wish.
- The complainant to explain their complaint and the individual handling the complaint to explain the reasons for their decision.
- The complainant to question the individual handling the complaint, and vice versa, about the complaint.
- Any evidence, including witnesses who have been prior approved by the chair of the panel, to be questioned.
- Members of the panel to question both the complainant and the individual about whom the complaint was made.
- Final statements to be made by both parties involved.

Neither the complainant nor the school will bring legal representation to this hearing, unless in exceptional circumstances, where this will be agreed beforehand. A member of staff who may be a witness to the complaint can bring a union representative or legal representative if desired; this will be agreed before the hearing.

The purpose of the hearing will be reconciliation and ensuring that things that may have gone wrong are corrected.

The complainant will receive a written response explaining the panel's findings and recommendations within **15** school days. This letter will also explain whether there are any further rights of appeal and to whom they need to be addressed.

The panel will make findings and recommendations, and a copy of those findings and recommendations will be made available for inspection on the school premises by the board of trustees and the Headteacher.

Where relevant, the person complained about will receive a summary of the panel's findings and recommendations. They will also receive a copy of the minutes, subject to any necessary redactions under the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK GDPR.

See appendix 4 and the CCT Stage three complaint panel hearing protocols for further details on panel hearing organisation.

Complaints to the DfE

If a complainant has exhausted the trust's complaints procedure, they will be advised that they can submit a complaint to the DfE via its [webpage](#) or by writing to:

Complaints Team
Education and Skills Funding Agency
Cheylesmore House
Coventry
Quinton Road
Coventry
CV1 2WT

Resolving complaints

At each stage of the complaints procedure, the school is committed to resolving the complaint. Where appropriate, the trust will acknowledge that the complaint is upheld in whole or in part, and may offer one of the following:

- An explanation
- An admission that the situation could have been handled better
- An assurance that the trust will try and ensure the incident will not occur again
- An outline of the steps that have been or will be taken to help ensure that it will not happen again and an indication of the timescales within which changes will be made
- An undertaking to review trust policies in light of the complaint
- An apology

Withdrawal of a complaint

Where a complainant wishes to withdraw their complaint, the school will ask them to confirm this in writing. Despite the complaint having been withdrawn, the school will still take the complainant's voice seriously and attempt to avoid causing similar distress to others in the future. The school will not under any circumstances ask, or pressure an individual, to withdraw a complaint.

Record keeping

A written record will be kept of all complaints that are made, regardless of the stage at which they are resolved, including any action taken by the trust as a result of those complaints whether they are upheld or not.

All correspondence, statements and records relating to individual complaints will be kept confidential except where the Secretary of State or an inspectorate requests access to them.

Academies are data controllers in their own right and must decide for themselves how long to keep records, unless statutory regulations apply, e.g. attendance records must be kept for 3 years. The trust will retain records of complaints and related documents in line with the Data Protection Policy and Records Management Policy. Personal data will only be kept for as long as necessary.

6. Interviewing Witnesses

When interviewing pupils to gather information regarding a complaint, the interview should be conducted in the presence of another member of staff or, in the case of serious complaints, e.g. where the possibility of criminal investigation exists, in the presence of their parents. This should be a staff member not in any way connected to the complaint. All pupils interviewed will be made fully aware of what the interview concerns and their right to have someone with them.

The school will ensure that the conduction of interviews does not prejudice an investigation by the LA designated officer (LADO) or police.

The school understands the importance of ensuring a friendly and relaxed area which is free from intimidation. Staff are allowed a colleague to support them at their interview. The colleague must not be anyone likely to be interviewed themselves, including their line manager. The interviewer will not express opinions in words or attitude, so as to not influence the interviewee. The interviewee will sign a copy of the transcription of the interview.

7. Recording a Complaint

A written record shall be kept of any complaint made, whether made via phone, in person or in writing, detailing:

- The main issues raised, the findings and any recommendations.
- Whether the complaint was resolved following an informal route, formal route or panel hearing.
- Actions taken by the school as a result of the complaint (regardless of whether the complaint was upheld).
- All records are made available for inspection on the school premises by the school.

The school/Trust holds the right to use recording devices, where appropriate, to ensure all parties involved are able to review the discussions at a later date. Where there are communication difficulties or disabilities, the trust may provide recording devices to ensure the complainant is able to access and review the discussions at a later point. Recording devices will not be used without the prior consent of all parties.

The school will not accept as evidence any recordings that were obtained covertly and without the informed consent of all parties being recorded.

Details of any complaint made shall not be shared with the entire Board of Governors/Trust. The exception to this is when a complaint is made against the whole board and they need to be aware of the allegations made against them to respond to any independent investigation.

Complainants have a right to access copies of these records under the UK GDPR and the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The trust will hold all records of complaints from each school, as well as those regarding the trust itself, centrally. Correspondence, statements and records relating to individual complaints are kept confidential except where the Secretary of State or a body conducting an inspection request to access them.

8. Exceptional Circumstances

The DfE expects complainants to have completed the trust's complaints procedure before directing a complaint to them. The exceptions to this include when:

- Pupils are at risk of harm.
- Pupils are missing education.
- A complainant can prove that the school:
 - Does not have a complaints procedure.
 - Did not provide a copy of the complaints procedure when requested.
 - Does not have a procedure that complies with the statutory regulations.
 - Has not followed its published complaints procedure.
 - Has not allowed its complaints procedure to be completed.
- A complainant is being prevented from having their complaint progressed through the trust's complaints procedure.
- The DfE has evidence that the trust is proposing to act or is acting unlawfully or unreasonably.

If a social services authority decides to investigate a situation, the board of trustees may postpone the complaints procedure.


9. Managing Unreasonable Complaints

The school is committed to dealing with all complaints fairly and impartially, and to providing a high-quality service to those who complain. The school will not normally limit the contact complainants have with the school itself; however, the school does not expect staff to tolerate unacceptable behaviour and will take action to protect staff from that behaviour, including that which is abusive, offensive or threatening.

Before considering whether a complaint is unreasonable or vexatious, the school will establish whether the matter has previously been raised and whether the complaints procedure has been completed and the complaint closed. Where a complaint has been concluded, the school will inform the complainant in writing that the matter is closed

and signpost them back to the original response before any consideration is given to unreasonable or vexatious status.

Determining unreasonable, vexatious and serial complaints



For the purposes of this policy, “unreasonable complaints” include:

- Vexatious complaints, which:
 - Are obsessive, persistent, harassing, prolific, or repetitious.
 - Insist upon pursuing unmeritorious complaints and/or unrealistic outcomes beyond all reason.
 - Insist upon pursuing meritorious complaints in an unreasonable manner.
 - Are designed to cause disruption or annoyance.
 - Demand for redress which lacks any serious purpose or value.

- Serial or persistent complaints, which:
 - Are duplicated, sent by the same complainant once the initial complaint has been closed.
 - Are new complaints that are submitted additionally, as part of an existing open complaint, by the same complainant.

A complaint may also be regarded as unreasonable when the complainant:

- Refuses to articulate their complaint or specify the grounds of a complaint or the outcomes sought by raising the complaint, despite offers of assistance.
- Refuses to cooperate with the complaints investigation process while still wishing their complaint to be resolved.
- Refuses to accept that certain issues are not within the scope of a complaints procedure.
- Insists on the complaint being dealt with in ways which are incompatible with the adopted complaints procedure or with good practice.
- Introduces trivial or irrelevant information which they expect to be taken into account and commented on, or raises large numbers of detailed but unimportant questions and insists they are fully answered, often immediately and to their own timescales.
- Makes unjustified complaints about staff who are trying to deal with the issues and seeks to have them replaced.
- Changes the basis of the complaint as the investigation proceeds.
- Repeatedly makes the same complaint despite previous investigations or responses concluding that the complaint is groundless or has been addressed.
- Refuses to accept the findings of the investigation into that complaint where the trust's complaints procedure has been fully and properly implemented and completed, including referral to the DfE.
- Seeks an unrealistic outcome.
- Makes excessive demands on school time by frequent, lengthy, complicated and stressful contact with staff regarding the complaint in person, in writing, by email and by telephone while the complaint is being dealt with.

A complaint may also be considered unreasonable if the complainant:

- Acts maliciously or aggressively.
- Uses threats, intimidation or violence.
- Uses abusive, offensive or discriminatory language.
- Knows the complaint to be false.
- Uses falsified information.

- Publishes unacceptable information in media such as social media websites and newspapers.

The above applies regardless of the method the complaint is made, e.g. face-to-face, by telephone, in writing or electronically. Whenever possible, the member of staff or trustee leading the response to a complaint will discuss any concerns with the complainant informally before applying an 'unreasonable' marking.

Complainants should limit the number of communications while a complaint is being progressed. It is not helpful if repeated correspondence is sent, either by letter, phone, email or text, as it could delay the outcome being reached. Serial or persistent complaints will only be marked as 'serial' once the complainant has completed the complaints procedure. It is the complaint that will be marked as 'serial', meaning the complainant can complain about a separate issue if necessary. A complaint will not be marked as serial where a complainant has exercised their right to refer their complaint to their MP.

If the behaviour continues, the individual handling the complaint will write to the complainant explaining that their behaviour is unreasonable and asking them to change it. For complainants who excessively contact the trust or any of its academies causing a significant level of disruption, the school may specify methods of communication and limit the number of contacts in a communication plan. This will usually be reviewed after six months.

A decision to stop responding will only be considered in circumstances where the following statements are true:

- Every reasonable step has been taken to address the complainant's concerns
- The complainant has been given a clear statement of the trust's position and their options
- The complainant contacts the school repeatedly, making substantially the same points each time

If the above criteria are met, in making a decision to stop responding, the school will also consider if the complainant is often or always abusive or aggressive in their communication, makes insulting personal comments about or threats towards staff, or if the school believes their intent is to disrupt or inconvenience the school.

The school will not stop responding to a complainant on the basis that they are difficult to deal with or they ask complex questions. In response to any serious incident of aggression or violence, the concerns and actions taken will be put in writing immediately and the police informed. This may include banning an individual from the premises.

10. Complaints Campaigns

Where the Trust becomes the subject of a complaints campaign from complainants who are not connected with the school, the standard, single response, below, will be published on the school's website.

Where the School/Trust receives a number of complaints all based on the same subject which, in its reasonable opinion, may be deemed a 'complaint campaign', it will deal with the complaints in the Concerns and Complaints Policy 2026 individual responses will not be sent to Complainants in such cases. Instead, either a template response will be sent to all Complainants, or a single response will be published on the School/Trust's website at the discretion of the Catholic Senior Executive Leader/Chair of Trustees. Where the complaint campaign involves Complainants who are parents, they will be entitled to escalate the complaint to a Panel hearing if they are dissatisfied with the School/Trust's response. The School/Trust will consider how best to manage Panel hearings in such circumstances

If the School/Trust receives a series of identical complainants who are connected to the school, e.g. partner, spouse or family member these complaints will not be addressed again and the individual making the second complaint will be informed that the complaint has been dealt with on a local level. If the individual is dissatisfied with the result, they can appeal to the DfE, as outlined in 'The role of the DfE' subsection of this policy. Any new details provided

by a complainant's spouse, partner or child, however, will be investigated and managed in line with the complaints procedure.

If complainants remain dissatisfied with the school's response, they will be directed to the DfE.

11. Barring from the Premises

School premises are private property and, therefore, any individual may be barred from entering the premises. If an individual's behaviour is cause for concern, the Headteacher will ask the individual to leave the premises.

The Headteacher will notify the parties involved in writing, explaining that their implied licence for access to the premises has been temporarily revoked and why, subject to any representations that the individual may wish to make. The individual involved will be given the opportunity to formally express their views regarding the decision to bar them.

This decision to bar will be reviewed by the chair of trustees where escalated, taking into account any discussions following the incident. If the decision is made to continue the bar, the individual will be contacted in writing, informing them of how long the bar will be in place, and when the decision will be reviewed.

Anyone wishing to make a complaint regarding a barring order can do so in writing, including via email, to the Headteacher or Chair of Local Governing Committee.

12. Standard of Fluency Complaints

As members of a public authority, all staff are subject to the fluency duty imposed by the Immigration Act 2016, which requires staff members to have an appropriate level of fluency in English in order to teach pupils.

The school is free to determine the level of spoken communication necessary in order for staff members to develop effective performance, but it will be matched to the demands of the role in question. The school will be satisfied that an individual has the necessary level of fluency appropriate for the role they will be undertaking, whether this is an existing or potential new member of staff.

If a member of the school community feels that a staff member has insufficient proficiency in spoken English for the performance of their role, they are required to follow the complaints procedure outlined in this policy.

For the purpose of this policy, a **“legitimate complaint”** is one which is about the standard of spoken English of a member of staff; complaints regarding an individual's accent, dialect, manner or tone of communication are not considered legitimate complaints. All legitimate complaints regarding the fluency duty will be handled in line with the processes detailed in this policy.

In addition to the processes outlined in this policy, the trust will assess the merits of a legitimate complaint against the necessary standard of spoken English fluency required for the role in question. To assess the merits, the school will undertake an objective assessment against clear criteria set out in the role specification or, against the level of fluency descriptors relevant to the role in question.

If the complaint is upheld, the school will consider what action is necessary to meet the fluency duty. This may include:

- Specific training.
- Specific re-training.
- Assessment.

- Redeployment.
- Dismissal.

Appropriate support will be provided to staff to ensure that they are protected from vexatious complaints and are not subjected to unnecessary fluency testing.

Records of complaints regarding fluency will be kept in accordance with the processes outlined in the 'Recording a complaint' section of this policy.

13. Transferring Data

When a pupil changes school, the pupil's educational record will be transferred to the new school and no copies will be kept.

The school will hold records of complaints separate to pupil records while a complaint is ongoing, so that access to these records can be maintained. Information that the school retains relating to a complaint will be stored securely and in line with its Records Management Policy.

14. Availability

A copy of this policy will be made available on request. It will also be published on the school's website, as recommended by the DfE.